Touch Sensing Technologies

a tutorial at ITS 2010 by

Florian Echtler

November 7th, 2010



Touch Sensing Technologies

Part 1: *Technology Overview*



Technology Overview



Technology Overview

Touch Sensors

- acoustic
 - Surface Acoustic Wave (SAW)
 - acoustic pulse recognition
- electronic
 - resistive sensors
 - capacitive sensors
 - capacitive coupling
- optic
 - occlusion sensing
 - FTIR
 - "backscatter" detection
 - free-air & assisted hand tracking

Acoustic Sensors

Surface Acoustic Wave (SAW)

- ultrasonic surface waves are injected into a glass pane
- transmitters and receivers on opposing sides
- touching finger partially absorbs wave energy
- used by some commercial touchscreens, e.g. SecureTouch by EloTouch
- vandalism-proof when using hardened glass
- mostly used in public settings
- only single-touch

Touch Sensing Technologies

• no object detection possible



Acoustic Sensors

Acoustic Pulse Recognition

- also used by some EloTouch products
- but mainly research projects
- usually requires pen or fingernail
- Acoustic Template Matching: one microphone, compare with samples pre-recorded on a grid
- Time Difference of Arrival: several microphones, calculate wavefront peak at each one -> triangulation
- rather imprecise, depending on material (echoes & multi-path propagation)
- susceptible to environment noise
- does not support dragging, only tapping

Resistive Touchscreens

- cheap, "every-day" touchscreens
- used in low-end mobile phones, PDAs etc.
- two transparent, conductive layers, separated by tiny spacers
- pressure creates contact -> measure resistance in both directions
- easy to damage, not really multi-touch capable (at best dual-touch)



Capacitive Sensing

Popular examples: iPhone, DiamondTouch (Mitsubishi), SmartSkin (Sony)







Capacitive Sensing

- reliable & thin
- transparent dielectric necessary
- presence of conductor (human skin) changes capacitance
- can at best sense conductive objects



Projected Capacitive Sensing

- most current commercial products based on this technology
- expensive to manufacture, usually made of ITO (indium tin oxide)
- each conductor resp. each crossing forms a capacitor
- two sub-categories:
 - self capacitance: each row/column measured independently
 - mutual capacitance: each crossing measured independently



isolator, e.g. glass

Touch Sensing Technologies

10/39



Projected Capacitive Sensing

- self capacitance: at most dual-touch possible
- reason: ambiguity for more than 1 touch point
- can be improved with clever tracking



Capacitive Coupling

- DiamondTouch/SmartSkin do not directly measure capacitance
- instead: capacitive coupling
- DiamondTouch by MERL:
 - signal transmitted *through* the user
 - uses, e.g., special seat cushion or foot mat
 - -> identification possible
- SmartSkin by Sony:
 - signal transmitted through rows, received through columns
 - user acts as ground, drains signal
- no complete image can be formed, just row/column intensities
- similar to self capacitance setup

Occlusion Sensing

- also commercially successful
- examples: SmartBoard, Citron DreamTouch, low-end dual-touch monitors

• SmartBoard

- two or more cameras looking over the surface from the corners
- infrared light strips are occluded -> calculate touch points

• Citron DreamTouch

- similar approach with distributed photosensors
- entire rim consists of LEDs and sensors
- enable single LED, check all sensors
- dual-touch monitors
 - regular grid of light barriers
 - similar issues as with self-capacitance

Occlusion Sensing

- low-cost variant with line lasers & cameras
- "light plane", e.g. LaserTouch by Andrew Wilson



FTIR – Frustrated Total Internal Reflection

- patented in 1965 for fingerprint scanning
- adapted for touch sensing in 2006 by Jeff Han
- very easy to build popular method for DIY setups
- touch on acrylic uncomfortable -> add "compliant" overlay





15/39

"Backscatter" Detection

- "DI"/"DSI": IR source below projection surface
- well-known examples:
- Microsoft Surface, Reactable
- advantage: easier to build than FTIR
- drawback: image processing slightly more involved





16/39

"Backscatter" Detection

"ThinSight" by Steve Hodges et al.
IR distance sensors behind LCD panel
can sense touches as well as objects
expensive & complex, but one of the most promising solutions







Assisted Hand Tracking

- Wiimote contains high-performance IR camera
- can track up to 4 points
- add IR light source & gloves with reflective markers
- really fast & cheap "multitouch" sensor
- Based on work by Johnny Lee,
- enhancements by Luc Vlaming et al.





Assisted Hand Tracking

- "Minority Report"-Style
- ART Handtrack: active-IR gloves
- dedicated tracking cameras above screen
- tracks fingertips in 3D, hand in 6D (position + orientation)
- can be used for touch sensing, but provides much more data
- drawbacks: large cameras, expensive



Free-Air Hand Tracking

- "TouchLight" by Andrew Wilson et al.
- requires holographic screen
- projection & sensing simultaneously
- "SecondLight" by Sharam Izadi et al.
- "privacy glass" screen
- switchable between transparent & opaque
- "PlayAnywhere" by Andrew Wilson et al.
- illuminate plane with IR light
- sense touch by analyzing shape of shadow
- round shadow -> distant from plane
- pointed shadow -> close to plane





Summary Touch Sensing Technologies

Technology	Multi-User	Multi-Touch	Direct	Hover	Object Detection	Fiducial Markers
Acoustic (SAW)	-	-	+	-	-	-
Acoustic (Pulse)	-	~	+	-	~	-
resistive	-	~	+	-	~	-
capacitive	-	-	+	-	~	-
projected cap. (self)	-	~	+	-	~	-
ProCap (mutual)	-	+	+	-	~	-
Cap. Coupling	+	~	+	-	~	-
occlusion	-	+	+	~	-	-
FTIR	-	+	+	-	-	-
backscatter (DI,)	-	+	~	+	+	+
Hand tracking (assisted)	+	+	~	+	~	~
Hand tracking (free-air)	-	+	~	+	~	~

21/39



Outlook What's next?

- display manufacturers: moving towards "in-cell" sensors
- instead of RGB subpixels: RGB + sensor
- can capture touch, fiducials, even text documents
- prototypes available by, e.g., Sharp
- current problem: still too slow for interactive usage
- capacitive touch: may suffer from indium shortage
- prediction: in 5 10 years, mainly in-cell sensors
- camera-based sensors remain for niche applications



Touch Sensing Technologies

Part 2: Advanced DIY Sensing Techniques



Advanced DIY Sensing

Optical DIY Touch Sensors

- Using LEDs as Sensors
 - Theory of operation
 - Implementation
- Improving FTIR & DI with pulsed light
 - Common Issues
 - Pulsed Light
 - Implementation

Theory of Operation

- light detection using LEDs pioneered by Forrest Mims ~1975
- adapted for touch sensing by Scott Hudson et al.
- why bother?
- LEDs are cheap and small, can be put in a lot of places
- e.g. behind LCD screens (compare ThinSight)
- every LED is also a (really bad) photodiode
- incoming light generates a (very small) photocurrent



Implementation: quick-and-dirty

- connect both LED terminals to microcontroller I/O pins
- enables 3 different modes of operation:
 - emitting (normal operation)
 - reverse-bias (charge)
 - sensing (discharge)



Implementation: quick-and-dirty

- assuming an LED matrix:
- use some LEDs as emitters
- use neighboring LEDs as sensors
- Step 1: enable emitters
- Step 2: reverse-bias sensors
- Step 3: switch to measurement
- Step 4: measure delay until input reads as 0
- more reflected light -> faster decay of charge
- imprecise due to LED variations, external noise
- delay may be significant -> low update rate

Implementation: sophisticated

- basic concept by Thomas Pototschnig
- central difference: interleaved LED matrix
- avoids cross-talk between emitters & sensors
- drawback: no commercial matrices available





Implementation: sophisticated

- additional "tricks":
 - modulate emitted light at 10 kHz, filter with band pass
 - use base intensity from LED as calibration, feed into difference amplifier



Using LEDs as Sensors Implementation: sophisticated

Video



Touch Sensing Technologies

a.k.a. Synchronized Illumination

- problems common to many FTIR & DI setups:
 - susceptible to stray light
 - low sensibility to light touch (esp. FTIR)
 - generally: low signal-to-noise ratio
- => goal: increase SNR

Mode 1: continuous operation int.





Pulsed Light a.k.a. Synchronized Illumination

- LEDs can be *pulsed*
- rule of thumb: 10x current for 5% of time (depends on exact type of LED)
- problem: ambient light still active in cool-off period
- camera sensor integrates all light during exposure time
- -> no significant gains relative to continuous method





a.k.a. Synchronized Illumination

- Solution: reduce exposure time to single pulse
- LED is brighter by approx. one order of magnitude
- global brightness decreases due to shorter exposure
- but: vast increase in signal-to-noise ratio



Implementation

- required camera features:
 - fine-grained exposure control (< 500 μs)
 - trigger input or output
 - global shutter
- rules out cheap webcams
- example for suitable camera: Firefly MV (~300 \$)
- also required: power switching circuit
- recommended safety features: microcontroller & polyfuse



Implementation

- circuit example:
 - microcontroller to check timing
 - polyfuse as last-resort protection
 - add more LED groups in parallel



TRIGGER

Touch Sensing Technologies

Implementation

- microcontroller state machine
- enables more complex setups, e.g. multiple light sources



Results

- single IR LED, viewed head-on 8-bit grayscale image
- left image: mode 1 (constant light)
- right image: mode 3 (pulsed & synchronized)
- LED intensity: 255 in both images, background intensity:
 - left image: ~ 160
 - right image: ~ 20
- contrast increase by factor 8





Pulsed Light Results

- real-world example:
- Inverted FTIR setup
- very low SNR
- still usable thanks to synchronized illumination



Thank you for your attention!

Questions & comments?

